Semester / Term
Academic Year:

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL INSTRUMENT FOR FACULTY

Name:	
Educ'l. Qualification:	
Major:	Minor:
College / Office / Unit:	
Position:	
Academic Rank:	
Employment Status:	
Teaching Assignment:	

SUMMARY OF RATINGS						
	Mean					
RATER	PART I	PART II	Total Mean	Weight	Weighted Mean	
Supervisor Rater				60%		
Client Rater (s)				40%		
Total Weighted Mean						
FINAL NUMERICAL PERFORMANCE RATING						
EQUIVALENT ADJECTIVAL RATING						
We Discuss and Agree on the Above Ratings:						
Ratee	Ratee Rater Confirmed by Next Higher Supervisor			Date		

RATING GUIDE

4.51 – 5.00 – Outstanding (**O**) 3.51 – 4.50 – Very Satisfactory (**VS**) 2.51 – 3.50 – Satisfactory (**S**)

1.51 – 2.50 – Unsatisfactory (**US**)

1.50 – below – Poor (**P**)

Form No.: TSU-HRD-SF-17 Revision No.: 03 Effectivity Date: August 16, 2018 Page 1 of 1



TARLAC STATE UNIVERSITY

HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT OFFICE PERF FORM 1: SUPERVISOR

Semester/Term	2. Knowledge of St	ubiect Matter	B. RES	EARCH 7%		Has an on-going extension program/
Academic Year		strates mastery of the subject		ction: Encircle the number that represents the	3	project in the college.
PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL INSTRUMENT	taught.		appro	opriate rating.	2	Has a proposed extension program/project.
FOR FACULTY	complet	s the subject matter without tely relying on the prescribed ks/other references.	1. Outp		1	Has a potential for an extension program/ project but has not presented a proposal.
Name: Educ'l. Qualification:	2.3 Integrate	es current and relevant tion developments to supplement	5	Has completed an approved research submitted to the University Research Office.	2.	Participation
Major: Minor: College/Office/Unit:	instructi 2.4 Shows o	ion.	4	Has an undergoing approved research by the URO / or a research submitted to	5	Is among the major proponents of an extension program/project.
Position: Academic Rank:	lecturers	s and conduct of discussions. s ideas on the lesson through a	3	the college level. Has an undergoing research at the	4	Is a participant to the major proponent/s of an extension program/project.
Employment Status: Teaching Assignment:		mmand of the language of		College Level. Is in the process of conceptualizing a	3	Is among the major proponents to a
Instructions:	3. Teaching for Inc	dependent Learning	2	research.		proposed extension program/project. Is a participant to the major proponent of
1. This form is used for evaluating the performance of your subordinate in this rating period. Please use pen or ball pen when		s appropriate worksheets, es, activities or handouts to	1	Has potential to do research but has not presented anything.	2	a proposed extension program/project.
accomplishing this form. 2. Please observe fairness and objectivity when rating your	students 3.2 Promote	s. es self-reliance through	2. Qual	lity	1	Has potential to conduct an extension program/project.
subordinate.	independ	dent studies. students to make their own	5	Has finished and complete research that substantially satisfied all criteria (novelty,		Total Score:
 In rating the performance, fill the box/encircle the number that most objectively represents the level of performance guided by the definitions of rating under each factor. 		ns and be accountable for their		relevance, responsiveness, objectivity, organization) of a good research.		Weight:7% Weighted Mean:
After accomplishing this form, please affix your signature.		ages students to ask questions oblems and present solutions.	4	Has finished a research but missed a criterion of a good research.	D. Pi	RODUCTION 3%
PART I. PERFORMANCE 70%		es students to do their best.	3	Has finished a research but missed 2 to 3 criteria of a good research.	5	Has instructional materials recognized by
Direction: Fill the box with the appropriate rating. Use the following scale. 5 – Outstanding (always shown the characteristics) 4 – Very Satisfactory (frequently shown the characteristics)	4. Manageme	ent of Learning	2	Has finished a research but missed the criteria of a good research.		the University or available at the Business Center for sale or distribution. (textbook / manual / worksheets / CDs, etc.)
3 – Satisfactory (occasionally shown the characteristics) 2 – Unsatisfactory (seldom shown the characteristics) 1 – Poor (never shown the characteristics)	and pres	tes teaching-learning activities scribes reasonable requirements.	1	Has not finished a research.	4	Has instructional materials used in the college level.
A. INSTRUCTION 53%	disciplin			Total Score:	3	Has on-going project of producing
1. Commitment		instructional aids and materials teaching-learning relevant and		Weight: Weighted Mean:	2	instructional material. Has an instructional material at the
1.1 Starts and dismisses class on time.		ried teaching methods and	C. EXT	ENSION 7%	1	conceptualization stage Has a potential in the production of
1.2 Shares expertise willingly and enthusiastically.	4.5 Evaluate	es students' performance and them of the outcomes.		Outcomes		instructional material.
1.3 Makes self-available to students even beyond official teaching hours.		uncin of the outcomes.	5	Has accomplished an extension project recognized by the college and the		Total Score: Mean:
1.4 Shows concern and sensitivity to students.	Mean:	53%	4	university. Has accomplished an extension program/		Weight: 3% Weighted Mean:
1.5 Recognizes and respects student's strengths and weaknesses.		an:	4	project at the College leve I for consideration at the university level.	PART I Tota	al Weighted Mean (TWM):

Form No.: TSU-HRD-SF-18 Revision No.: 03 Effectivity Date: August 16, 2018 Page 1 of 2



TARLAC STATE UNIVERSITY HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT OFFICE

PART II – CRITICAL FACTORS (30%)

Pleas	ction: This form seeks to evaluate the personal and professional characteristics of the faculty. se fill the box that most objectively represents the level of performance guided by the nitions of rating under each factor.	2 11-15 times tardy / undertime
	5 - Outstanding (always shown the characteristics) 4 - Very Satisfactory (frequently shown the characteristics) 3 - Satisfactory (occasionally shown the characteristics) 2 - Unsatisfactory (seldom shown the characteristics)	More than 15 times tardy / undertime Total Score:
	1 – Poor (never shown the characteristics)	Mean:
A.	Courtesy and Human Relations – polite, kind and thoughtful behavior toward others in manner of speech and actuation. Integrates concern for people at work, office, client and supervisor-subordinate relationship into work situations.	Weighted Score: 2. Attendance (5%) Not more than 4 days absent; Absences applied for in accordance with regulations.
	Leadership – the manner of guiding, influencing, motivating and developing confidence of others to work as a team and accomplish assigned task, leading the organizational unit to achieve its goals and objectives enthusiastically.	5-8 days absent 9-12 days absent 13-16 days absent
	Stress Tolerance – stability of performance under pressure or opposition. Consistently confident and positive even during stressful conditions at work.	2 More than 16 days absent
	Honesty and Integrity – shows uprightness, fairness, frankness, and sincerity in his intentions, works, and actions.	Total Score: Mean: Weighted Score:
	Initiative/Resourcefulness – starts action, projects, and performs assigned tasks without being told and under minimal supervision.	PART II Total Weighted Score (TWS): (A + B)
	Attire/Grooming – presents a neat and presentable appearance, wears proper uniform/attire and ID.	COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
	Physical and Mental Health – possesses high intellectual capacity and physically fit for work, and emotional adjusted.	
	Total Score: Mean: Weighted Score:	
В.	PUNCTUALITY AND ATTENDANCE (10%) Direction: Encircle the number that represents the appropriate rating.	NAME OF RATER
	1. Punctuality (5%)	POSITION
	Not more than 3 times tardy / undertime during the last 6 months.	OFFICE/DIVISION
	4-6 times tardy / undertime.	ADDRESS

SUMMARY:

AREA	Weighted Average Score	We	eight	Overall Weight
PART I. PERFORMANCE (70%)				
1. Instruction		5	3%	
2. Research		7	7%	
3. Extension		7	7%	
4. Production		3	3%	
Total Weighted Score Part I: PART II. CRITICAL FACTOS (3	0%)			
1. Personal and Professional Characteristics	,	2	0%	
2. Punctuality		5		
Attendance		5	5%	
Total Weighted Score Part II:				
FINAL NUMERICAL PERFORM (Part I + Part II)	IANCE RATING			

RATING GUIDE

4.51	_	5.00	_	Outstanding (O)
3.51	_	4.50	_	Very Satisfactory (VS)
2.51	_	3.50	_	Satisfactory (S)
1.51	_	2.50	_	Unsatisfactory (US)
1.50	_	below	_	Poor (P)

Form No.: TSU-HRD-SF-18 Revision No.: 03 Effectivity Date: August 16, 2018 Page 2 of 2

7-10 times tardy / undertime